# PATENT COOPERATION TREA

**PCT** 

REC'D 2 8 DEC 2004

WIPO

PCT

## INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference 0000053770				FOR FURTHER ACTION See Notification of Transmittal of International Preliminary Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)					
International application No. PCT/EP 03/08114				International filing date (	day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 24.07.2002			
Intern A011			nt Classification (IPC) or bo	oth national classification a	nd IPC				
Applio BAS		TIEN	IGESELLSCHAFT et	al.					
1.	This international preliminary examination report has been prepared by this International Preliminary Examining     Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36.								
2.	This REPORT consists of a total of 6 sheets, including this cover sheet.								
	This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, i.e. sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis for this report and/or sheets containing rectifications made before this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions under the PCT).								
	Thes	e anı	nexes consist of a total o	of sheets.					
3.	Thie	renoi	rt contains indications re	lating to the following it	ems.				
J.				lating to the following it					
	1		Basis of the opinion						
	11		Priority						
	III				overty, inventive s	step and industrial applicability			
	IV V	⊠ ⊠	Lack of unity of inventions and explanations and explanations and explanations.		th regard to nove	lty, inventive step or industrial applicability;			
	VI		Certain documents cit						
	VII			eu international application	1				
	VIII			on the international appl					
Date	Date of submission of the demand				Date of completion	on of this report			
19.12.2003				28.12.2004					
Name and mailing address of the International			nal	Authorized Officer					
preliminary examining authority:  European Patent Office - P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2  NL-2280 HV Rijswijk - Pays Bas  Tel. +31 70 340 - 2040 Tx: 31 651 epo nl  Fax: +31 70 340 - 3016			Bas	Fort, M Telephone No. +31 70 340-4123					



International application No.

PCT/EP 03/08114

ı	Bas	ie i	οf	the	ren	ort
J.	Das	.13	u	uic	ICD	υιι

1. With regard to the **elements** of the international application (Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report since they do not contain amendments (Rules 70.16 and 70.17)):

	Des	Description, Pages						
	1-51	l	as originally filed					
	Clai	ms, Numbers						
	1-35	5	as originally filed					
2.			age, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the ternational application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.					
	The	se elements were av	ailable or furnished to this Authority in the following language: , which is:					
			anslation furnished for the purposes of the international search (under Rule 23.1(b)).					
			anslation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under					
3.	With	n regard to any <b>nucle</b> rnational preliminary	eotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:					
		contained in the inte	mational application in written form.					
		filed together with th	e international application in computer readable form.					
		furnished subsequer	ntly to this Authority in written form.					
furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form.								
			he subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure pplication as filed has been furnished.					
		The statement that the listing has been furn	he information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence ished.					
4.	The	amendments have re	esulted in the cancellation of:					
		the description,	pages:					
		the claims,	Nos.:					
		the drawings,	sheets:					
5.		This report has been been considered to	n established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have go beyond the disclosure as filed (Rule 70.2(c)).					
		(Any replacement sh report.)	heet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and annexed to this					
6.	Add	itional observations,	if necessary:					

## INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/EP 03/08114

IV.	. Lac	k of unity of invention								
1.	In re	n response to the invitation to restrict or pay additional fees, the applicant has:								
☐ restricted the claims.										
	☒	paid additional fees.								
		paid additional fees under prot	est.							
		neither restricted nor paid addi	tional f	fees.						
2.		This Authority found that the requirement of unity of invention is not complied with and chose, according to Rule 68.1, not to invite the applicant to restrict or pay additional fees.								
3.	This	s Authority considers that the re	quirem	nent of unity	of invention	in acco	rdance with	Rules 13	3.1, 13.2 a	and 13.3
		complied with.								
	$\boxtimes$	not complied with for the follow	mplied with for the following reasons:							
	see	separate sheet								
4. Consequently, the following parts of the international application were the subject of international examination in establishing this report:					nal prelim	ninary				
⊠ all parts.										
		the parts relating to claims No	s							
٧.	Rea cita	asoned statement under Artic tions and explanations supp	le 35(2 orting	2) with regai such staten	d to novel nent	ty, inve	ntive step	or indust	trial appli	cability
1.	Sta	tement								
	Nov	velty (N)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	9-11, 14-2 1-8, 12-13					
	Inv	entive step (IS)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-35			•		
	Ind	ustrial applicability (IA)	Ýes: No:	Claims Claims	1-35		•	•	• •	
2.	Cita	ations and explanations								
		s congrate sheet								



### Re Item IV Lack of unity of invention

The International Examining Authority (IEA) considers the present application to consist of multiple subject-matter as defined hereafter:

Subject I (claims 1-7 (partially), 8-11, 14-35(partially))

A synergistic herbicidal mixture comprising at least one 3-heterocyclyl-substituted benzoyl derivative of the formula I and at least the compound of the formula IIa

Subject II (claims 1-7 (partially), 12-13, 14-35 (partially))

A synergistic herbicidal mixture comprising at least one 3-heterocyclyl-substituted benzoyl derivative of the formula I and at least the compound of the formula IIb

The common technical feature linking the 2 inventions listed in the invitation to pay additional fees is that they all deal with synergistic herbicidal mixtures comprising a 3-heterocyclyl-substituted benzoyl derivative of the formula I together with a further herbicide, the second herbicide being a heterocyclic compound. This feature linking together the 2 inventions is known since synergistic herbicidal compositions comprising a compound of formula (I) together with a triazolpyrimidine sulfoanilide such as florasulam, flumetsulam or metosulam have been used in D1

(D1= Wo99/65314) (see D1, table 18). Therefore this feature is not a special technical feature in the sense of Rule 13(2) PCT. Since the chemical structures of the synergists of formula (IIa) and (IIb) are widely different, no other technical feature common to the two inventions listed above can be distinguished, which would possibly fulfil this requirement, Therefore there is no single inventive concept underlying the two inventions and the present application lacks unity.

Since the applicant has paid one additional fee in response to the invitation to pay additional fees, the two subjects identified above were the subject of international preliminary examination.

#### Re Item V

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

#### Subject I

D1 discloses synergistic herbicidal mixtures containing a 3-heterocyclic-substituted benzoyl derivative of the formula (I) and a triazolpyrimidine sulfonanilide such as florasulam, flumetsulam or metasulam (see D1, tables 2 and 18). Compounds Ia.3 and la.33 are particularly preferred (see D1, p.24 and examples). D1 is novelty destroying for the subject-matter of claims 1-8, 30-35 (Article 33 (2) PCT).

Given the teaching of D1, the problem underlying the present invention may be seen as providing alternative synergistic herbicidal mixtures containing a 3-heterocyclicsubstituted benzoyl derivative of the formula (I).

Since a synergistic effect has been demonstrated in D1 with a mixture of la.33 and metosulam, the use of a mixture containing la.33 and flumetsulam instead of metosulam as sulfonanilide compound (claim 9) cannot be considered as being inventive (Article 33(3) PCT).

As to the tertiary (claims 10-11, 14-26) or quaternary mixtures (claims 27-29), they cannot be considered as being inventive either since the synergistic herbicidal effect obtained by combining a compound of formula (I) with clopyralid, diflufenzopyr, a chloroacetanilide, or a triazine such as atrazine is known from D1 (see D1, tables 2, 38-51, 66-68) (Article 33(3) PCT).

The subject-matter of claims 1-11 and 14-35 is considered to be industrially applicable and the present application is therefore considered to satisfy the criterion set forth in Article 33(4) PCT.

#### Subject II

D1 discloses synergistic herbicidal mixtures containing a 3-heterocyclic-substituted benzoyl derivative of the formula (I) (preferably la.3 or la.33) and an auxin herbicide such as clopyralid (see D1, table 2). D1 is novelty destroying for the subject-matter of claims 1-7, 12-13 and 30-35 (Article 33(2) PCT).

Given the teaching of D1, the problem underlying the present invention may be seen as providing alternative synergistic herbicidal mixtures containing a 3-heterocyclicsubstituted benzoyl derivative of the formula (I).

The tertiary mixtures (claims 14-26) or quaternary mixtures (claims 27-29) cannot be



International application No. PCT/EP 03/08114

considered as being inventive since the synergistic herbicidal effect obtained by combining a compound of formula (I) with a triazolpyrimidine sulfonanilide such as florasulam, flumetsulam or metsulam or with diflufenzopyr, a chloroacetanilide, or a triazine such as atrazine is known from D1 (see D1, tables 2, 38-51, 66-68) (Article 33(3) PCT).

The subject-matter of claims 1-7 and 12-35 is considered to be industrially applicable and the present application is therefore considered to satisfy the criterion set forth in Article 33(4) PCT.